

NATIONAL INITIATIVE FOR LEADERSHIP & INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

Hennepin Technical College Brooklyn Park, Minnesota

Personal Assessment of the College Environment (PACE) Custom Report

by

Alessandra Dinin & Greg King

The National Initiative for Leadership & Institutional Effectiveness

North Carolina State University

April 2017



National Initiative for Leadership and Institutional Effectiveness

Audrey Jaeger, PhD, Executive Director Alessandra Dinin, Director of Research Jemilia Davis, Researcher Laura Garland, Researcher Dominique Foster, Researcher Greg King, Researcher

Phone: 919-515-8567

919-515-6289

Fax: 919-515-6305

Web: http://ced.ncsu.edu/ahe/nilie

College of Education North Carolina State University 300 Poe Hall, Box 7801 Raleigh, NC 27695-7801

Table of C	Contents	Page
Table 1.	Custom Items Frequency Distributions	1
Table 2.	Custom Item Mean Comparisons	4
Table 3.	Custom Demographic Frequency Distributions	5
Table 4.	Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Recommendation	6
Table 5.	Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Recommendation	7
Table 6.	Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Recommendation	8
Table 7.	Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Recommendation	9
Table 8.	Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Recommendation	10

Table 1. Custom Items Frequency Distributions

		HTC		ITC 2015		
Custom Items	Response Option	Count	%	Count	%	
The extent to which						
1 I receive recognition or praise for doing good	Very dissatisfied	14	8%	11	5%	
work	Dissatisfied	18	10%	28	13%	
	Neither	39	23%	53	24%	
	Satisfied	69	40%	75	34%	
	Very satisfied	33	19%	51	23%	
	Total	173	100%	218	100%	
2 my development is encouraged	Very dissatisfied	10	6%	9	4%	
	Dissatisfied	18	10%	29	13%	
	Neither	39	23%	55	25%	
	Satisfied	73	42%	72	33%	
	Very satisfied	33	19%	53	24%	
	Total	173	100%	218	100%	
3 I have the materials and tools to do my job	Very dissatisfied	10	6%	7	3%	
	Dissatisfied	21	12%	27	12%	
	Neither	32	18%	31	14%	
	Satisfied	77	45%	101	46%	
	Very satisfied	33	19%	53	24%	
	Total	173	100%	219	100%	
4 I have had opportunities at work to learn and grow	Very dissatisfied	11	6%	5	2%	
in the last year	Dissatisfied	15	9%	14	6%	
	Neither	23	14%	50	23%	
	Satisfied	79	46%	89	41%	
	Very satisfied	42	25%	58	27%	
	Total	170	100%	216	100%	

		HTC		2015	
Custom Items (continued)	Response Option	Count	%	Count	%
The extent to which					
5 my opinions count in my work team	Very dissatisfied	14	8%	10	5%
	Dissatisfied	10	6%	18	8%
	Neither	20	12%	35	16%
	Satisfied	81	47%	82	37%
	Very satisfied	47	27%	75	34%
	Total	172	100%	220	100%
6 I have the opportunity to do what I do best every	Very dissatisfied	7	4%	3	1%
day	Dissatisfied	9	5%	13	6%
	Neither	23	13%	26	12%
	Satisfied	79	46%	101	46%
	Very satisfied	55	32%	78	35%
	Total	173	100%	221	100%
7 my team is effective and productive and does	Very dissatisfied	6	3%	9	4%
quality work	Dissatisfied	10	6%	10	5%
	Neither	24	14%	30	14%
	Satisfied	74	43%	89	40%
	Very satisfied	58	34%	84	38%
	Total	172	100%	222	100%
8 I perceive there to be individual accountability	Very dissatisfied	15	9%	18	8%
	Dissatisfied	29	17%	26	12%
	Neither	24	14%	50	23%
	Satisfied	70	41%	66	30%
	Very satisfied	34	20%	61	28%
	Total	172	100%	221	100%

		HTC		20)15
Custom Items (continued)	Response Option	Count	%	Count	%
The extent to which					
9 I make a difference at my institution/workplace	Very dissatisfied	7	4%	6	3%
	Dissatisfied	6	3%	11	5%
	Neither	20	12%	22	10%
	Satisfied	77	45%	91	41%
	Very satisfied	62	36%	91	41%
	Total	172	100%	221	100%

Table 2. Custom Item Mean Comparisons

		HTC		2015		
	Custom Items	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
The	extent to which					
1	I receive recognition or praise for doing good work	173	3.514	3.583		
2	my development is encouraged	173	3.584	3.601		
3	I have the materials and tools to do my job	173	3.590	3.758		
4	I have had opportunities at work to learn and grow in the last year	170	3.741	3.838		
5	my opinions count in my work team	172	3.797	3.882		
6	I have the opportunity to do what I do best every day	173	3.960	4.077		
7	my team is effective and productive and does quality work	172	3.977	4.032		
8	I perceive there to be individual accountability	172	3.459	3.570		
9	I make a difference at my institution/workplace	172	4.052	4.131		

Table 3. Custom Demographic Frequency Distributions

					HTC		20)15
	Demographic Items		Response Option		Count	%	Count	%
1	Would you recommend this college as	Yes			122	77%	167	80%
	a place to work?	No			36	23%	43	20%
				Total	158	100%	210	100%

Table 4. Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Recommendation

	HTC		2015		
Would you recommend this college as a place to work?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	174	3.388	3.394		
Yes	122	3.733	3.617		
No	36	2.322	2.495		

Table 5. Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Recommendation

	HTC		2015		
Would you recommend this college as a place to work?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	174	4.104	4.089		
Yes	122	4.226	4.210		
No	36	3.749	3.624		

Table 6. Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Recommendation

	HTC		2015		
Would you recommend this college as a place to work?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	174	3.691	3.745		
Yes	122	4.016	3.964		
No	36	2.707	2.954		

Table 7. Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Recommendation

	HTC		2015		
Would you recommend this college as a place to work?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	174	3.755	3.818		
Yes	122	4.057	4.017		
No	36	2.824	3.064		

Table 8. Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Recommendation

	HTC		2015		
Would you recommend this college as a place to work?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	174	3.705	3.729		
Yes	122	3.982	3.922		
No	36	2.868	2.991		